



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LL
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/457,842	12/09/1999	SATORU SAWADA	12924(JA998-	8134

7590 08/10/2007
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

EXAMINER

SHERR, CRISTINA O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3621

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/457,842	SAWADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-8, 10-16 and 21-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-16 and 21-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed January 10, 2007. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-16 and 21-25 are currently pending in this case.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed January 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

3. Applicant argues, with respect to all the pending independent claims, 1, 3, 10 and 13, that nothing in the cited prior art teaches, disclose or suggests charging data for paying for object data or recognition data for identifying the type of the object data.

4. Examiner respectfully disagrees and directs attention to Lida, wherein, "Herein, it could be constituted such that a voice recognition method can be adopted for the entry of (h) a portion of the melody, and making a recognition of the melody that the customer is singing using that recognition method, and it could be constituted such that the recognized melody is further converted to the score corresponding thereto, and then the converted score is displayed on the screen of the monitor." (col 11 ln 41-48). Thus the type of object data or melody to be recorded is being recognized. It is obvious and predictable that the data is being recognized by means of data that is the functional equivalent of recognition data.

5. Further, in Lida "By entering the applicable items on the "retrieval screen", i.e., by entering the attributes of the musical composition, the ISRC thereof is specified. Once the ISRC is specified, then the "Permission File" and the "Charging Rate & Fee File" (both of which are described below in more details) are automatically linked in

accordance with the specified ISRC." (col 73 ln 46-51). The "charging rate file is the functional equivalent of charging data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-16 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lida (US 6,209,787).

8. Lida discloses a data charging system for charging for the use of object data (e.g. abstract), the system comprising: a server machine for generating contents containing a plurality of types of object data (e.g. col 2 ln 5-50), an IC card including a recording medium for recording (i) charging data for paying for said object data and (ii) recognition data for identifying the type of the object data (e.g. col 2 ln 40-50),

and a client machines for receiving said contents generated by the server machine (e.g. col 3 ln40-50),

the client machine' including a data charging apparatus for using said IC card to charge for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data which have been recorded on said IC card (e.g. col 4 ln 20-35); wherein said data charging apparatus comprises:

Art Unit: 3621

data reading logic for reading out said recognition data and said charging data from said recording medium (e.g. col 5 ln 30-35);

a separator for separating said object data from said contents (e.g. col 5 ln 40-45),

a recognition logic for identifying the specific type of said separated object data by using said recognition data (e.g. col 5 ln 45-50);

an accounting logic for dynamically charging for the use of said separated object data, based on the type of data said separated object data is, as determined by using said recognition data, and by using said charging data which has been read out from the recording medium (e.g. col 5 ln 60-65),

and a writing logic for writing, as part of said charging data in the recording medium, the results of charging for the use of said separated object data (e.g. col 5 ln 55-60).

9. Although lida does not use the same terminology or the same order of steps as the instant application, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt lida to obtain the instant application so that copyright owners may be assured their royalties thus providing a return for both the musical industry and musical composers.

10. Regarding claim 3 –

lida discloses, in a data charging system including a server machine which records, on an IC Card recording medium, charging data for paying for object data and contained in contents and recognition data used for identifying the type of object data in said contents and pays for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data which has been recorded in the recording medium, a client machine including a data charging apparatus comprising: a data reading logic for reading said

Art Unit: 3621

recognition data and said charging data from said recording medium, a separator for separating said object data from said contents, a recognition logic for identifying the type of said separated object data by using said recognition data read out from the recording medium, an accounting logic for dynamically charging for the use of said separated object, based on the type of data said separated object data is, as determined by using said recognition data, and data by using said charging data which has been read out from the recording medium, and a writing logic for writing, as part of said charging data in the recording medium, the results of charging for the use of said separated object data (e.g. col 5 ln 55-60).

11. As above, although lida does not use the same terminology or the same order of steps as the instant application, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt lida to obtain the instant application so that copyright owners may be assured their royalties thus providing a return for both the musical industry and musical composers.

12. Regarding claim 4 –

Lida discloses the data charging apparatus according to Claim 3, wherein said contents comprise said object data and said recognition data for recognizing this object data, said separator separates said object data and said recognition data from said contents, said recognition logic recognizes said object data, based on said recognition data 'which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from said recording medium, and said accounting logic charges for said object data by using said charging data which has been read out (e.g. col 4 ln 35-62).

Art Unit: 3621

13. Regarding claim 5 –

Iida discloses a data charging apparatus comprising a watermarking logic for embedding digital watermarks in said object data which has been separated from said contents, wherein said separator separates said object data and said recognition data from said contents, said recognition logic recognizes said object data, based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from said recording medium, and said accounting logic charges for said object data embedded with said digital watermarks (e.g. col 6 ln 50-65).

14. Regarding claim 6 –

Iida discloses a data charging apparatus according to Claim 3, wherein a digital watermark is embedded in said object data in said contents, said data charging apparatus further comprising a means for detecting if said object data is embedded with said digital watermark, said separator separating said object data and said recognition data from said contents, said recognition logic recognizing said object data, based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from said recording medium, and said accounting logic charging for said object data only if said object data is found to be embedded with said digital watermark (e.g. col 3 ln 50-65).

15. Regarding claims 7-8 –

Iida discloses a data charging apparatus wherein said charging data recorded on said recording medium contains at least payment data which indicates payment made in advance for the use of said object data, and said accounting logic charges for the use of

Art Unit: 3621

said object data within limits of an amount indicated by said payment data contained in said charging data and wherein said charging data recorded on said recording medium further contains unit price data representing an accounting unit for the use of said object data and a price corresponding to the accounting unit, said data charging apparatus comprising an accounting unit detection logic for detecting unit accounting amount data which represents an amount of said accounting unit for the object data which has been separated from said contents, said accounting logic charging within the limits of the amount indicated by said payment data, based on said unit price data contained in said charging data which has been read out and on the unit accounting amount data which has been detected. (e.g. col 55 ln 42-60).

16. Regarding claim 10 –

Iida discloses a data charging method for using a server machine for generating contents which contain a plurality of types of object data and recognition data used for the identifying this object data in the generated contents, recording, in an IC card including a recording medium, (i) charging data for paying for said object data and (ii) the recognition data used for identifying the specified type of the object data, and charging for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data which have been recorded, comprising the steps of: delivering the generated contents to a client machine; and using the client machine for reading said recognition data and said charging data from the said IC card, separating said object data from said contents, identifying the specified type of said separated object data by using said recognition data which has been read out from the IC card to charge dynamically for the

Art Unit: 3621

use of said separated object data, based on the specified type of data said object data is, as determined and by using said charging data which has been read out from the recording medium; and writing as part of said charging data, the results of charging for the use of said recognized object data (e.g. abstract, col 55 ln 30-65).

17. As above, although lida does not use the same terminology or the same order of steps as the instant application, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt lida to obtain the instant application so that copyright owners may be assured their royalties thus providing a return for both the musical industry and musical composers.

18. Regarding claims 11-12 –

lida discloses a data charging method wherein said object data in said contents are embedded with digital watermarks, comprising the steps of: separating said object data and said recognition data from said contents; recognizing said object data, based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from said recording medium; detecting said digital watermark embedded in said object data; and charging for said recognized object data only by using said charging data which has been read out if said object data is found to be embedded with said digital watermark; comprising the steps of: separating said object data and said recognition data from said contents; recognizing said object data, based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from said recording medium; embedding digital watermarks in said separated object data; and charging for the use of

Art Unit: 3621

the object data embedded with said digital watermarks by using said charging data which has been read out (e.g. col 44 ln 42-60).

19. Regarding claim13 –

lida discloses in a data charging apparatus of a data charging system which uses a server machine to record, on an IC card including a recording medium, (i) charging data used for paying for object data of a specified type and contained in contents and (ii) recognition data used for identifying the specified type of the object data in said contents, and charges for the use of said object data by using said charging data and said recognition data which have been recorded; a computer program product enabling a client machine that has received said contents to execute the steps of: reading said recognition data and said charging data, separating said object data from said contents, identifying the specified type of said separated object data by using said recognition data which has been read out to charge dynamically for the use of said separated object data, based on the specific type of data said separated object data is, as determined by using said, 'recognition data, and by using said charging data which has been read out from the recording medium, and writing, as part of said charging data, the results of charging for the use of said recognized object data into said recording medium (e.g. abstract, col 5 ln 30-65).

20. As above, although lida does not use the same terminology or the same order of steps as the instant application, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt lida to obtain the instant application so that copyright owners may be assured

Art Unit: 3621

their royalties thus providing a return for both the musical industry and musical composers.

21. Regarding claims 14-16 –

Iida discloses a computer program product wherein said contents contain said object data and said recognition data used for recognition of the object data, said object data and said recognition data are separated from said contents in said separation step, said object data is recognized in said recognition step, based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from the recording medium, and a charge is made for said object data in said charging step by using said charging data which has been read out; wherein the computer is made to execute the step of embedding digital watermarks in said object data which has been separated from said contents, said object data and said recognition data are separated from said contents in said separation step, said object data is recognized in said recognition step, based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from the recording medium, and a charge is made for said object data embedded with said digital watermarks in said charging step; wherein said object data in said contents are embedded with digital watermarks, the computer is further made to execute the step of detecting that said object data is embedded with said digital watermarks, said object data and said recognition data are separated from said contents in said separation step, said object data is recognized in said recognition step,

Art Unit: 3621

based on said recognition data which has been separated from said contents and on said recognition data which has been read out from the recording medium, and a charge is made for said object data in said charging step only if said object data is found to be embedded with said digital watermark (e.g. col 4 ln 6-24).

22. Regarding claim 21 –

Iida discloses a data charging system according to Claim 1 wherein the server generates a watermark information about the digital watermark and also embedded in said contents (e.g. col 8 ln 55-60).

23. Regarding claims 22-23 –

Iida discloses a method according to Claim 11, further comprising the step of embedding in said contents information about the digital watermarks; wherein the embedding step includes the step of embedding in said contents instructions for embedding the contents with said digital watermarks. (e.g. col 15 ln 55-60).

24. Regarding claim 24-25 –

Iida discloses a data charging system according to Claim 1, wherein: the content generator also puts recognition data in said contents; and the object data is identified based on the recognition data in said contents and said recognition data read from the IC card; wherein the recognition logic compares the recognition data read out with the recognition data separated from said contents to determine if said two kinds of recognition data match (e.g. col 5 ln 30-50).

25. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Art Unit: 3621

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
27. Rhoads (US 6,345,104) discloses digital watermarks and methods for security documents.
28. Indeck et al (US 5,428,683) disclose a method and apparatus for fingerprinting and authenticating magnetic media
29. Leeke et al (US 6,587,127) disclose a content player method and server with user profile.
30. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
31. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.

33. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

34. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Cristina Owen Sherr
Patent Examiner, Au 3621

 8/6/07
ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600